



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/222,524	12/28/1998	SHUICHI MATSUDA	NEC-N98039	3038

7590 11/13/2003

NORMAN P SOLOWAY
HAYES SOLOWAY HENNESSEY GROSSMAN &
HAGE
175 CANAL STREET
MANCHESTER, NH 03101

EXAMINER

PAREKH, NITIN

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

2811

DATE MAILED: 11/13/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

09/222,524

Applicant(s)

MATSUDA, SHUICHI

Examiner

Nitin Parekh

Art Unit

2811

WU

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22 September 2003.
- 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 21-56 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 21-56 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 28 December 1998 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120

- 12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a) ☒ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.
- 13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78.
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.
- 14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). _____
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) _____ 6) ☐ Other: _____

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

2. Claims 21, 24, 27, 30, 33, 36, 39, 42, 45, 48, 51 and 54 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over admitted prior art (APA) in view of Rostoker (US Pat. 5399898), Farnworth et al. (US Pat. 5925930) and Liang (US Pat. 5952726).

Regarding claim 21, APA discloses a semiconductor device comprising:

- a wiring substrate/tap automated bonding (TAB) substrate/film carrier (2 in Fig. 8) having a predetermined pattern of wiring formed on one surface and having a number of through-holes
 - a semiconductor chip (1 in Fig. 8) disposed on the other surface of the wiring substrate having chip electrodes and a wiring layer (4 and 3 respectively in Fig. 8)
 - a number of bumps disposed/formed respectively in through-holes (5/6 in Fig. 8) of the wiring substrate/disposed in conforming relationship with the chip electrodes and electrically connecting the wiring of the wiring substrate with the electrode, and
 - a single external bump pad (2c in Fig. 8) for the bump electrically connected through the wiring layer to the chip electrodes
- (Fig. 7 and 8; Specification pages 1-3).

Art Unit: 2811

APA fails to specify:

- a) the semiconductor chip having at least one electrode set comprising two chip electrodes in a common wiring layer of the chip, and
- b) arranging them from the edge of the chip towards its inner side.

a) Rostoker teaches using a semiconductor chip/flip chip comprising a variety of internal connections and having a bump/contact set comprising two bumps/contacts (222c and 222d in chip 224; Fig. 2b) being connected to a common wiring layer (Fig. 2b; Col. 11, line 23-Col. 12, line 13) in a flip-chip assembly. Rostoker further teaches in another embodiment of Fig. 5a, a conventional configuration of the flip chip bumps having the bumps/contacts comprising conductive pads (see 522 under 514 in Fig. 5a; Col. 16, lines 1-38).

Farnworth et al. teach a conventional bump/electrode pad structure (Fig. 1e) on a chip/wafer where the conductive bump/contact (116/108 in Fig. 1e) is formed on a conductive pad (106 in Fig. 1e) which is the electrode pad (Col. 2, line 62) of the chip/wafer.

Rostoker further teaches increasing the bond pad/bump density using predetermined bond size/spacing to 600 or 1000 I/O counts or more to achieve the desired external connection capability and increased bump connections for a given chip area (Fig. 6-7B; Col. 17, line 20- Col. 19, line 35).

- b) Liang teaches using a grid/pattern of chip electrodes/bump pads comprising ground, power-source and signal terminals in a in a flip-chip assembly having a variety

of configurations with different parameters such as pitch/dimension, number of electrodes, etc. where the chip electrodes/pads are arranged from the edge of the chip towards it's inner side or parallel to the edge of the chip (Fig. 2: Col. 1, line 42; Col. 2, line 42; Fig. 4-6; Col. 5, line 1-45; Col. 2-5).

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to incorporate the chip having an electrode set or two electrode sets comprising two electrodes in a common wiring layer of the semiconductor chip as taught by Rostoker and Farnworth et al. and arranging them from the edge of the chip towards it's inner side as taught by Liang so that the internal connection density and bump stress distribution can be improved in APA's device.

Regarding claim 24, APA, Rostoker, Farnworth et al. and Liang teach substantially the entire claimed structure as applied to claim 21 above, except the chip electrodes comprising at least one kind of terminal selected from ground, power-source and signal terminals of the semiconductor chip.

Liang further teaches using the chip electrodes comprising ground, power-source and signal terminals of the semiconductor chip in a flip-chip assembly (Fig. 4A-C; Col. 2-9; Fig. 1-7).

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to incorporate the chip electrodes comprising at least one kind of terminal selected from ground, power-source and signal terminals of the semiconductor chip as taught by Liang so that the desired grounding and power/signal routing can be achieved in Rostoker, Farnworth et al., Liang and APA's device.

Regarding claim 27, APA, Rostoker, Farnworth et al. and Liang teach substantially the entire claimed structure as applied to claim 21 above, wherein APA teaches the number of bumps (5/6 in Fig. 8 of APA) being disposed on the wiring of the wiring substrate (APA specification page 2, lines 12-18).

Regarding claim 30, APA, Rostoker, Farnworth et al. and Liang teach substantially the entire claimed structure as applied to claims 21 and 27 above, except the chip electrodes comprising at least one kind of terminal selected from ground, power-source and signal terminals of the semiconductor chip.

Liang further teaches using the chip electrodes comprising ground, power-source and signal terminals of the semiconductor chip in a flip-chip assembly (Fig. 4A-C; Col. 2-9; Fig. 1-7).

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to incorporate the chip electrodes comprising at least one kind of terminal selected from ground, power-source and signal terminals of the semiconductor chip as taught by Liang so that the desired grounding and power/signal routing can be achieved in Rostoker, Farnworth et al., Liang and APA's device.

Regarding claim 45, APA, Rostoker, Farnworth et al. and Liang teach substantially the entire claimed structure as applied to claim 21 above, except the chip comprising at least two electrode sets each at least comprising at least two chip electrodes in the common wiring layer.

Rostoker further teaches increasing the bond pad/electrode/bump density using predetermined bond size/spacing to 600 or 1000 I/O counts or more to achieve the desired external connection capability and increased bump/electrode connections for a given chip area (Fig. 6-7B; Col. 17, line 20- Col. 19, line 35).

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to incorporate the chip comprising at least two electrode sets each at least comprising at least two chip electrodes in the common wiring layer as taught by Rostoker so that the internal connection density can be improved and the desired grounding, power and signal routing can be achieved in Rostoker, Farnworth et al., Liang and APA's device.

Regarding claim 48, APA, Rostoker, Farnworth et al. and Liang teach substantially the entire claimed structure as applied to claim 27 above, except the chip comprising at least two electrode sets each at least comprising at least two chip electrodes in the common wiring layer.

Rostoker further teaches increasing the bond pad/electrode/bump density using predetermined bond size/electrode spacing to 600 or 1000 I/O counts or more to achieve the desired external connection capability and increased bump/electrode connections for a given chip area (Fig. 6-7B; Col. 17, line 20- Col. 19, line 35).

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to incorporate the chip comprising at least two electrode sets each at least comprising at least two chip electrodes in the common wiring layer as taught by Rostoker so that the internal connection density can be improved and the desired grounding, power and signal routing can be achieved in Rostoker, Farnworth et al., Liang and APA's device.

Regarding claims 33, 36, 51, 39, 42 and 54, APA, Rostoker, Farnworth et al. and Liang teach substantially the entire claimed structure as applied to claims 21, 24, 45, 27, 30 and 48 respectively above, wherein APA teaches the wiring substrate comprising the tap automated bonding/TAB (APA: 2 in Fig. 7 and 8; Specification pages 1 and 2).

3. Claims 22, 25, 28, 31, 34, 37, 40, 43, 46, 49, 52 and 55 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over APA, Rostoker (US Pat. 5399898), Farnworth et al. (US Pat. 5925930) and Liang (US Pat. 5952726) as applied to claim 21 above, and further in view of Fulcher (US Pat. 5686764).

Regarding claim 22, APA, Rostoker, Farnworth et al. and Liang teach substantially the entire claimed structure as applied to claim 21 above, except the chip electrodes being parallel to an edge of the chip and the wiring being bent at least one position.

Fulcher teaches using I/O, power/signal wiring layout with patterns of different dimensions, shapes where the wiring is bent at various positions (Fig. 4A; Col. 7, line 10) in a flip chip substrate/tape to optimize the spacing of wiring/traces and to reduce the signal interference and cross-talk (Fig. 3-8, Col. 3-5).

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to arrange the chip electrodes being parallel to the edge of the chip and the wiring is bent at least one position as taught by Fulcher so that the spacing of wiring/traces can be optimized and the signal interference/cross-talk can be reduced in Rostoker, Farnworth et al., Liang and APA's device.

Regarding claim 25, APA, Rostoker, Farnworth et al., Liang and Fulcher teach substantially the entire claimed structure as applied to claim 22 above, except the chip electrodes comprising at least one kind of terminal selected from ground, power-source and signal terminals of the semiconductor chip.

Liang further teaches using the chip electrodes comprising ground, power-source and signal terminals of the semiconductor chip in a flip-chip assembly (Fig. 4A-C; Col. 2-9; Fig. 1-7).

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to incorporate the chip electrodes comprising at least one kind of terminal selected from ground, power-source and signal terminals of the semiconductor chip as taught by Liang so that the desired grounding and power/signal routing can be achieved in Rostoker, Farnworth et al., Liang, Fulcher and APA's device.

Regarding claim 46, APA, Rostoker, Farnworth et al., Liang and Fulcher teach substantially the entire claimed structure as applied to claim 22 above, except the chip comprising at least two electrode sets each at least comprising at least two chip electrodes in the common wiring layer.

Rostoker further teaches increasing the bond pad/bump density using predetermined bond size/spacing to 600 or 1000 I/O counts or more to achieve the desired external connection capability and increased bump connections for a given chip area (Fig. 6-7B; Col. 17, line 20- Col. 19, line 35).

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to incorporate the chip comprising at least two electrode sets each at least comprising at least two chip electrodes in the common wiring layer as taught by Rostoker so that the internal

connection density can be improved and the desired grounding, power and signal routing can be achieved in Rostoker, Farnworth et al., Liang, Fulcher and APA's device.

Regarding claim 28, APA, Rostoker, Farnworth et al. Liang and Fulcher teach substantially the entire claimed structure as applied to claim 22 above, wherein APA teach the number of bumps (5/6 in Fig. 8 of APA) being disposed on the wiring of the wiring substrate (APA specification page 2, lines 12-18).

Regarding claim 31, APA, Rostoker, Farnworth et al., Liang and Fulcher teach substantially the entire claimed structure as applied to claims 22 and 28 above, except the chip electrodes comprising at least one kind of terminal selected from ground, power-source and signal terminals of the semiconductor chip.

Liang further teaches using the chip electrodes comprising ground, power-source and signal terminals of the semiconductor chip in a flip-chip assembly (Fig. 4A-C; Col. 2-9; Fig. 1-7).

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to incorporate the chip electrodes comprising at least one kind of terminal selected from ground, power-source and signal terminals of the semiconductor chip as taught by Liang so that the desired grounding and power/signal routing can be achieved in Rostoker, Farnworth et al., Liang, Fulcher and APA's device.

Regarding claim 49, APA, Rostoker, Farnworth et al., Liang and Fulcher teach substantially the entire claimed structure as applied to claims 28 and 22 above, except the chip comprising at least two electrode sets each at least comprising at least two chip electrodes in the common wiring layer.

Rostoker further teaches increasing the bond pad/electrode//bump density using predetermined bond size/electrode spacing to 600 or 1000 I/O counts or more to achieve the desired external connection capability and increased bump/electrode connections for a given chip area (Fig. 6-7B; Col. 17, line 20- Col. 19, line 35).

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to incorporate the chip comprising at least two electrode sets each at least comprising at least two chip electrodes in the common wiring layer as taught by Rostoker so that the internal connection density can be improved and the desired grounding, power and signal routing can be achieved in Rostoker, Farnworth et al., Liang, Fulcher and APA's device.

Regarding claims 34, 37, 52, 40, 43 and 55, APA, Rostoker, Farnworth et al., Liang and Fulcher teach substantially the entire claimed structure as applied to claims 22, 25, 46, 28, 31 and 49 respectively above, wherein APA teach the wiring substrate comprising the tap automated bonding/TAB (APA: 2 in Fig. 7 and 8; Specification pages 1 and 2).

4. Claims 23, 26, 29, 32, 35, 38, 41, 44, 47, 50, 53 and 56 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over APA, Rostoker (US Pat. 5399898), Farnworth et al. (US Pat. 5925930) and Liang (US Pat. 5952726) as applied to claim 21 above, and further in view of Bertolet et al. (US Pat. 5844317).

Regarding claim 23, APA, Rostoker, Farnworth et al. and Liang teach substantially the entire claimed structure as applied to claim 21 above, except the wiring having an end width larger than an interelectrode distance between the chip electrodes.

Bertolet et al. teach using a variety of shapes/dimensions and end widths of wiring pattern/pad (Fig. 3) to achieve the desired bonding area and to improve the bonding strength.

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to incorporate the wiring having an end width larger than an interelectrode distance between the chip electrodes as taught by Bertolet et al. so that the bonding area and bonding strength can be increased and bump stress distribution can be improved in Rostoker, Farnworth et al., Liang and APA's device.

Regarding claim 26, APA, Rostoker, Farnworth et al., Liang and Bertolet et al. teach substantially the entire claimed structure as applied to claim 23 above, except the chip electrodes comprising at least one kind of terminal selected from ground, power-source and signal terminals of the semiconductor chip.

Liang further teaches using the chip electrodes comprising ground, power-source and signal terminals of the semiconductor chip in a flip-chip assembly (Fig. 4A-C; Col. 2-9; Fig. 1-7).

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to incorporate the chip electrodes comprising at least one kind of terminal selected from ground, power-source and signal terminals of the semiconductor chip as taught by Liang so that the desired grounding and power/signal routing can be achieved in Bertolet et al., Rostoker, Farnworth et al., Liang and APA's device.

Regarding claim 47, APA, Rostoker, Farnworth et al., Liang and Bertolet et al. teach substantially the entire claimed structure as applied to claims 21 and 23 above, except the chip comprising at least two electrode sets each at least comprising at least two chip electrodes in the common wiring layer.

Rostoker further teaches increasing the bond pad/bump density using predetermined bond size/spacing to 600 or 1000 I/O counts or more to achieve the desired external connection capability and increased bump connections for a given chip area (Fig. 6-7B; Col. 17, line 20- Col. 19, line 35).

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to incorporate the chip comprising at least two electrode sets each at least comprising at least two chip electrodes in the common wiring layer as taught by Rostoker so that the internal connection density can be improved and the desired grounding, power and signal routing can be achieved in Bertolet et al., Rostoker, Farnworth et al., Liang and APA's device.

Regarding claim 29, APA, Rostoker, Farnworth et al., Liang and Bertolet et al. teach substantially the entire claimed structure as applied to claim 23 above, wherein APA teach the number of bumps (5/6 in Fig. 8 of APA) being disposed on the wiring of the wiring substrate (APA specification page 2, lines 12-18).

Regarding claim 32, APA, Rostoker, Farnworth et al., Liang and Bertolet et al. teach substantially the entire claimed structure as applied to claims 22 and 28 above, except the chip electrodes comprising at least one kind of terminal selected from ground, power-source and signal terminals of the semiconductor chip.

Liang further teaches using the chip electrodes comprising ground, power-source and signal terminals of the semiconductor chip in a flip-chip assembly (Fig. 4A-C; Col. 2-9; Fig. 1-7).

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to incorporate the chip electrodes comprising at least one kind of terminal selected from ground, power-source and signal terminals of the semiconductor chip as taught by Liang so that the desired grounding and power/signal routing can be achieved in Bertolet et al., Rostoker, Farnworth et al., Liang and APA's device.

Regarding claim 50, APA, Rostoker, Farnworth et al., Liang and Bertolet et al. teach substantially the entire claimed structure as applied to claim 23 and 29 above, except the chip comprising at least two electrode sets each at least comprising at least two chip electrodes in the common wiring layer.

Rostoker further teaches increasing the bond pad/electrode/bump density using predetermined bond size/electrode spacing to 600 or 1000 I/O counts or more to achieve the desired external connection capability and increased bump/electrode connections for a given chip area (Fig. 6-7B; Col. 17, line 20- Col. 19, line 35).

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to incorporate the chip comprising at least two electrode sets each at least comprising at least two chip electrodes in the common wiring layer as taught by Rostoker so that the internal connection density can be improved and the desired grounding, power and signal routing can be achieved in Bertolet et al., Rostoker, Farnworth et al., Liang and APA's device.

Regarding claims 35, 38, 53, 41, 44 and 56, APA, Rostoker, Farnworth et al., Liang and Bertolet et al. teach substantially the entire claimed structure as applied to claims 23, 26, 47, 29, 32 and 50 respectively above, wherein APA teaches the wiring substrate comprising the tap automated bonding/TAB (APA: 2 in Fig. 7 and 8; Specification pages 1 and 2).

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 21-56 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Reference B is cited as being related to a chip package structure having a bump, a pad and a chip electrode.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Nitin Parekh whose telephone number is 703-305-3410. The examiner can normally be reached on 09:00AM-05:30PM.

Art Unit: 2811

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Eddie Lee can be reached on 703-308-1690. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-306-3431.



Nitin Parekh

PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800

NP

11-07-03